

#### AGENDA ITEM NO: 15

Report To:	Environment & Regeneration Committee	Date:	12 January 2023		
Report By:	Interim Director Environment & Regeneration	Report No:	ENV009/23/SJ/GM		
Contact Officer:	Graham McCarey	Contact No:	01475 712419		
Subject:	Road Naming within New Development at Site of Former McPherson Centre, Gourock				

### 1.0 PURPOSE AND SUMMARY

- 1.1 ⊠For Decision □For Information/Noting
- 1.2 The purpose of this report is to seek Committee approval to name a new road being created within the residential development on the site of the former McPherson Centre in Gourock.

#### 2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

2.1 It is recommended that the road in the new development be named as set out in paragraph 4.4.

Stuart W. Jamieson Interim Director of Environment and Regeneration

### 3.0 BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

3.1 Section 97 of the Civic Government (Scotland) Act 1982 gives a local authority power to name roads within its area.

## 4.0 PROPOSALS

- 4.1 Planning permission has been issued for the development of 22 new residential properties on the site of the former McPherson Centre in Gourock. The developer, City Gate Construction, made a request for numbers to be assigned to these new properties, and a name to be assigned to the new internal road within the development.
- 4.2 For the purpose of consultation with the developer, Ward Councillors, and the Gourock Community Council, officers proposed the following options for the road within the new development:
  - Duncan Drive (after Captain Duncan McPherson, at whose bequest the hospital/centre was built)
  - Inveraray Way (birthplace of Captain McPherson)
  - Commodore Way / Close (the Captain was Commodore at the Gourock Yacht Club)
  - McPherson Close / Place / Way (as it is not untypical to name an offshoot of an existing road with a matching counterpart name).
- 4.3 Following consultation with Ward Councillors, the consensus was to opt for McPherson Place as the new road name, to connect it clearly with the existing McPherson Drive and to follow the conventions of other smaller roads in the Midton area.

A suggestion was made to name the new road in honour of Councillor Ahlfeld. It is however the Council's policy not generally to name roads after people who are still living. This is set out in the guidance on document on the Council's website.

Neither the developer or the Gourock Community Council made any further suggestions, and both expressed their approval for the name McPherson Place.

4.4 Taking the above into consideration, it is proposed that the new road in this development is assigned the name McPherson Place.

### 5.0 IMPLICATIONS

5.1 The table below shows whether risks and implications apply if the recommendation(s) is(are) agreed:

SUBJECT	YES	NO	N/A
Financial		Х	
Legal/Risk		Х	
Human Resources		Х	
Strategic (LOIP/Corporate Plan)		Х	
Equalities & Fairer Scotland Duty		Х	
Children & Young People's Rights & Wellbeing		Х	
Environmental & Sustainability		Х	
Data Protection		Х	

### 5.2 Finance

One off Costs

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	Budget Years	Proposed Spend this Report	Virement From	Other Comments
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Annually Recurring Costs/ (Savings)

Cost Centre	Budget Heading	With Effect from	Annual Net Impact	Virement From (If Applicable)	Other Comments
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

### 5.3 Legal/Risk

There are no legal implications associated with this report.

### 5.4 Human Resources

There are no personnel implications associated with this report.

#### 5.5 Strategic

None.

### 5.6 Equalities and Fairer Scotland Duty

### (a) Equalities

This report has been considered under the Corporate Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process with the following outcome:

	YES – Assessed as relevant and an EqIA is required.
х	NO – This report does not introduce a new policy, function or strategy or recommend a substantive change to an existing policy, function or strategy. Therefore, assessed as not relevant and no EqIA is required. Provide any other relevant reasons why an EqIA is not necessary/screening statement.

#### (b) Fairer Scotland Duty

If this report affects or proposes any major strategic decision:-

Has there been active consideration of how this report's recommendations reduce inequalities of outcome?

	YES – A written statement showing how this report's recommendations reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage has been completed.
х	NO – Assessed as not relevant under the Fairer Scotland Duty for the following reasons: Provide reasons why the report has been assessed as not relevant.

## 5.7 Children and Young People

Has a Children's Rights and Wellbeing Impact Assessment been carried out?

YES – Asse NO – Asses X function or s

YES – Assessed as relevant and a CRWIA is required.

NO – Assessed as not relevant as this report does not involve a new policy, function or strategy or recommends a substantive change to an existing policy, function or strategy which will have an impact on children's rights.

### 5.8 Environmental/Sustainability

Has a Strategic Environmental Assessment been carried out?

Y re X si in

YES – assessed as relevant and a Strategic Environmental Assessment is required.

NO – This report does not propose or seek approval for a plan, policy, programme, strategy or document which is like to have significant environmental effects, if implemented.

### 5.9 Data Protection

Has a Data Protection Impact Assessment been carried out?

 YES – This report involves data processing which may result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of individuals.

 X
 NO – Assessed as not relevant as this report does not involve data processing which may result in a high risk to the rights and freedoms of individuals.

# 6.0 CONSULTATION

6.1 Ward Councillors and Gourock Community Council were consulted during the preparation of this report.

# 7.0 BACKGROUND PAPERS

7.1 None.

